Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/567,606	SAUERMANN, VOLKER	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	DOUGLAS BRYANT	2195	
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>Pending</u>		
(1) <u>DOUGLAS BRYANT</u> .	(3) <u>Mr. Siddiqui</u> .		
(2) Mr. C. Gregory Gramenopoulos.	(4)		
Date of Interview: 20 January 2010	Time: <u>10:45 AM</u>		
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:			
Claims discussed:			
Claims 1 and 21 were discussed.			
Prior art documents discussed:			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  See Continuation Sheet			
Part III.			
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary</li> </ul>	e examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the interview, since	ne substance
/Meng-Ai An/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2195	pplicant/Applicant's Representati	ive Signature - if (	annronriate)
(A	ppncam/Appncam s Representan	ive bighanne – Il a	арргорпаке)



Application No. 10/567,606

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner discussed that Allowable claims 1 and 21, line 4 "the object sizes" lack antecedant basis. Examiner suggested amending the claims to read as "object sizes". Applicant agreed to make the change in order to move forward with prosecution of the application..